Gamonales, J.M.; García-Drake, C.; León, K.; Gámez-Calvo, L. y Muñoz-Jiménez, J. (202x). Sport Performance Evolution of Football 7-A-Side for People with Cerebral Palsy: 2012-2016. Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte vol. x (x) pp.xx Pendiente de publicación / In press.

ORIGINAL

SPORT PERFORMANCE EVOLUTION OF FOOTBALL 7-A-SIDE FOR PEOPLE WITH CEREBRAL PALSY: 2012-2016

EVOLUCIÓN DEL RENDIMIENTO DEPORTIVO EN FÚTBOL-7 PARA PERSONAS CON PARÁLISIS CEREBRAL: 2012-2016

Gamonales, J.M.; García-Drake, C.; León, K.; Gámez-Calvo, L.; Muñoz-Jiménez, J.

Group for Training and Sport Performance Optimization (Grupo de Optimización del Entrenamiento y Rendimiento Deportivo, GOERD). Faculty of Sport Sciences. University of Extremadura, Spain. martingamonales@unex.es, cgarciacw@alumnos.unex.es, fleon@unex.es, lgamezna@alumnos.unex.es, suliwan@unex.es

Spanish-English translator: Rocío Dominguez Castells,

rociodominguezcastells@gmail.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND/OR FUNDING

This work was developed by the Research Group for Training and Sport Performance Optimization (Grupo de Optimización del Entrenamiento y Rendimiento Deportivo, G.O.E.R.D.) of the Faculty of Sport Sciences of the University of Extremadura.



This work has been partially supported by the funding for research groups (GR18170) granted by the Government of Extremadura (Employment and infrastructure office—Consejería de Empleo e Infraestructuras), with the contribution of the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), "A way to make Europe".





Código UNESCO / UNESCO code: 5899 Educación Física y Deportiva / Physical Education and Sports.

Clasificación Consejo de Europa / Council of Europe classification: 17. Otras: Procesos de percepción / Others: Perception processes.

Recibido 15 de noviembre de 2020 Received November 15, 2020

Aceptado 29 de agosto de 2021 Accepted August 29, 2021

RESUMEN

El objetivo del presente estudio fue analizar los lanzamientos ejecutados durante la competición de Fútbol a 7 para personas con parálisis cerebral de los Juegos Paralímpicos de Londres 2012 y de Río de Janeiro 2016, además de conocer las diferencias entre ambas competiciones. Para ello, se realizó un análisis descriptivo de los 875 lanzamientos ejecutados durante la competición, así como de las diferencias entre las variables planteadas en el estudio entre ambas competiciones. Los resultados evidenciaron la importancia de los lanzamientos en el Fútbol a 7 para personas con Parálisis Cerebral. Se han obtenido diferencias significativas entre las competiciones analizadas en las siguientes variables: *Equipo, Partido, Clasificación Funcional, Zona de lanzamiento, Posición, Zona corporal, Tipo de golpeo, Oposición* y *Resultado del lanzamiento*. Se tuvo en cuenta el cambio de reglamento en torno a la clasificación funcional, que provocó cambios significativos en determinadas variables, concluyendo que la mayor implicación ofensiva la tienen los jugadores con menor afectación.

PALABRAS CLAVES: Fútbol-7, parálisis cerebral, competición, indicadores de rendimiento.

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to analyse the shots performed during the football 7-a-side competition for people with cerebral palsy in London 2012 and Rio de Janeiro 2016 Paralympic Games, as well as to determine the differences between both competitions. A descriptive analysis of the 875 shots performed during the competition was carried out, as well as of the differences in the variables proposed in the study, between the two competitions. The results evidenced the importance of shots in football 7-a-side for people with cerebral palsy. Significant differences were detected between the competitions analysed in the following variables: *Team, Match, Functional class, Shooting area, Position, Body segment, Hitting type, Opposition* and *Shot outcome*. The rule modification regarding functional classification was considered, which caused significant changes in certain variables. It was concluded that the less severe the players' impairment, the greater their involvement in the offensive play.

KEYWORDS: football 7-a-side, cerebral palsy, competition, performance indicators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Football 7-a-side for people with cerebral palsy or acquired brain injury (Fa7PC) is an invasion sport with a low score, where a higher number of ball possessions (Gamonales, León, Gómez-Carmona, Domínguez-Manzano & Muñoz-Jiménez, 2018a) and the shots performed by players with higher functional capability (Class 8) from the central areas (Gamonales, León,

Jiménez & Muñoz-Jiménez, 2019a) are associated with success in competition. There are some differences from conventional football, such as a lower number of players, different field size and the throw-in, which may be performed with only one hand. These adaptations are necessary due to the athletes' neurological characteristics and activity limitations (Reina, 2014). Besides, this sport modality requires high-intensity efforts (Henríquez et al., 2021).

The presence of research on Fa7PC in literature is recent and scarce (Gamonales et al., 2019a), the topics being very varied. Andrade, Fleury and Silva (2005) brought to light that muscle weakness, strength asymmetries and imbalances between antagonist muscles were risk factors for injuries in Brazilian Paralympic Fa7PC players. Yanci et al. (2014) analysed flight height in vertical jumps with and with no countermovement in Fa7PC players, and concluded that flight time was related to anthropometric variables and functional class. Yanci, Castillo, Iturricastillo, Urbán and Reina (2018) determined players' external load, according to the sport functional class (FC), during the classification tournament for the World Championships of the International Federation of Cerebral Palsy Football. The players with the midest limitations covered longer distances at high intensity and performed more accelerations, decelerations and changes of direction at high intensity during matches, compared to the rest of the classes.

Besides, Gamonales et al. (2018a) examined the relationship between successful offensive play and both tactical and situation variables in Fa7PC teams. In this line, Gamonales et al. (2019a) determined the performance indicators that influenced the shot at goal in 2012 Paralympic Games. Gorla et al. (2019) conducted a study with Brazilian players focusing on body composition and somatotype variables, and their relationship with functional class. Peña-González, Sarabia, Roldan, Manresa-Rocamora and Moya-Ramón (2021) described the anthropometric and physical profiles of the Spanish team players and compared them with the rest of players from the National Fa7PC League. Lastly, Yanci, Castillo, Iturricastillo and Reina (2019) assessed the match's official external load in Fa7PC players during a classification tournament for the World Championships. The results revealed that players covered shorter distances at high intensity. Consequently, players' physical performance affects competition load (Peña-González, Sarabia, Mancha-Triquero, Mora-Ramón & Gamonales, 2021), Thus, the athletes with milder functional limitations ran the longest distances at high speed and received the highest number of impacts during matches (Gamonales, Muñoz-Jiménez, Cómez-Carmona & Ibáñez, 2021; Reina, Iturricastillo, Castillo, Urbán & Yanci, 2020).

Performance Analysis is a methodology that includes all research analysing competition or training (Hughes & Bartlett, 2008). It consists in the objective collection of behaviours that occur during competition. The ability to analyse them can be of key importance, both in team and individual sports, if players and teams aim to achieve success (Robles, Castellano & Perea, 2014). Its final purpose is to identify strengths that can be enhanced through training and weaknesses that can be improved before the competition. The analysis of performance indicators is recent and emerging, and it has rapidly gained

popularity among sport scientists and practitioners (Drust, 2010). Furthermore, it has its own space within Sport Sciences, featuring elements that make it very easy to apply to training and competition analysis (Gómez-Ruano, 2017). It seeks to predict and prescribe players' and teams' performance based on the competition characteristics, as well as to develop theoretical models for tactical decision-making in team sports (O'Donoghue, 2015; Rein & Memmert, 2016). Therefore, performance indicator analysis can provide very valuable information to help improve performance in invasion sports with a low score.

This type of analysis is applied to different sports for people with disabilities This is the case of Molik, Kosmol, Mogulec-Adamowicz, Laskin, Jezior and Patrzatek (2009), who analysed game efficiency in wheelchair basketball. Morato, Da Cunha, Gamero, Magalhaes and Almeida (2017) developed and evaluated an observational system for goalball match analysis. In the same line, Morato, Menezes, Fonseca and Da Cunha (2018) examined the influence of ball time, ball trajectory and ball type on the probability of scoring a goal in elite goalball. Furthermore, previous studies on football 5-a-side for the blind or visually impaired (hereafter, Fa5) have analysed the shots at goal performed during 2014 World Championships (Gamonales, Muñoz-Jiménez, León & Ibáñez, 2018b) or 2016 Paralympic Games (Gamonales, Muñoz-Jiménez, León & Ibáñez, 2019b), or have analysed the penalty and double penalty kicks across several competitions (Gamonales, Muñoz-Jimenez, León & Ibáñez, 2018c). These studies allowed for observation of the behaviours that affect sport performance under good guality, reliability, validity and accuracy conditions (Salas & Hernández-Mendo, 2016) and for synchronic or concurrent analysis of a specific game phase (Hileno & Buscá, 2012). They also help sport practitioners understand the profiles of their athletes with disabilities depending on the sport modality (Roldan, Sarabia, Gómez-Marcos & Reina, 2020).

Additionally, performance indicator analysis is also applied to other sport modalities for people without disabilities. There are studies involving performance indicators in conventional football that used the definition of a variable and category system based on game sequence analysis with the aim to analyse France 1998 World Championships (Castellanos, Hernández, Morales & Anguera, 2007). Lago-Ballesteros, Lago-Peña, Rey, Casáis and Dominguez (2012) observed the relationship between the tactical models used and the situation variables on one hand, and successful offence on the other, in elite football. Other studies focused on analysing goals in elite competition (Tenga, Holme, Ronglan & Bahr, 2010a), shots at goal (Casáis, Lago-Peñas, Lago-Ballesteros, Iglesias & Gómez-Ruano, 2011; Ensum, Pollard, & Taylor, 2005: García-Rubio, Gómez-Ruano, Lago-Peñas & Ibáñez 2015), or the starting and ending areas (Hughes & Churchill, 2005; Tenga, Holme, Ronglan & Bahr, 2010b). Similarly, studies have been conducted regarding shots at the basket in basketball (Echeverría, Lapresa, Anguera & Arana, 2011; García-Tormo, Pérez-Manzano, Vaguera & Morante 2015; Ibáñez, Santos & García-Rubio, 2015).

Therefore, the shot was chosen as the action under study, with the aim to understand its relationships and relevance within the game. In order to examine the shot more accurately, several variables, such as shot importance and outcome, were recorded. Besides, additional variables can be assessed in every shot, allowing for collection of much more accurate information (Ibáñez, Feu, García-Rubio, Parejo & Cañadas, 2009; Gómez-Ruano, 2017). Therefore, bearing all the above in mind, the overall purpose of this research was to determine the contextual factors that would potentially affect the performance of football 7-a-side players, taking the shot outcome as a performance indicator. To do so, the aims of this study were: i) to describe the sport modality of elite Fa7PC, ii) to analyse the shots in Fa7PC during 2012 and 2016 Paralympic Games, and iii) to determine the differences in this playing action between competitions.

2. METHOD

2.1. Design

The present study falls under the category of observational, descriptive, longitudinal, association studies that examine the differences between two or more variables (Ato, López & Benavente, 2013), with the aim to characterise the shot-at-goal action in Fa7PC.

2.2. Sample

All shots performed in 24 Fa7PC matches were recorded: total shots, shots at goal and shots in goal. The matches were randomly selected. More specifically, the shots of 12 matches from London 2012 Paralympic Games (n=444) and of 12 matches from Rio 2016 Paralympic Games (n=431) were analysed, meaning a total of 875 shots at goal.

2.3. Variables

In this research, situation variables, variables related to the shot execution and an outcome variable were used. The independent variable was the *Type of competition*. Table 1 contains the study variables regarding performance indicators in Fa7PC.

| able 1. Study variables regarding performance indicators in Fa7PC |
|---|
|---|

| Туре | Variables | Categories |
|-------------|---------------------|--|
| Independent | Type of competition | Championships |
| | Situation | Functional class Moment of the game Shooting area* Playing situation |
| Dependent | Execution | Body segment Hitting type Shooting situation Height of shot Opposition to shot |
| | Outcome | Shot outcome |

* The field diagram used to determine the Shooting area was designed by Gamonales et al. (2019a).

2.4. Procedure

Prior to data collection, a coder was trained with the aim to ensure that all data were valid and reliable. To do so, a procedure similar to those described in the scientific literature was applied. The observer followed a training process and, subsequently, the reliability of the collected data was assessed through two recordings of the same observations on two different days. A statistical procedure adapted from Kappa coefficient (Cohen, 1960), called Multirater Kappa Free (Randolph, 2005), was followed. It showed the observer's level of agreement. The acceptable value for Kappa coefficient varies depending on the author. A value of 0.70 or above shows appropriate agreement between coders (Gamonales, Muñoz-Jiménez, León & Ibáñez, 2018d). This was the reference measure used to assess the study's reliability. The mean Kappa value for interobserver reliability was 0.92, or almost perfect, according to Landis and Koch (1977). Subsequently, the observer individually recorded all shots at goal (n=875) performed during the matches of both Paralympic Games. Table 2 contains the Kappa values to determine intra-observer reliability for the different variables that were analysed. Ten shots were randomly selected to conduct this test. The second data collection took place one week after the first one.

| Table 2. Intra-observer level of agreement. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variables | % | Free-Marginal Kappa | L.A. | | | | | | |
| Moment of the game | 100 | 1.00 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Shooting area | 90 👝 | 0.89 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Playing situation | 100 | 1.00 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Body segment | 90 | 0.87 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Hitting type | 100 | 1.00 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Shooting situation | 100 | 1.00 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Height of shot | 90 | 0.85 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Opposition to shot | 90 | 0.80 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |
| Shot outcome | 90 | 0.87 | Almost perfect | | | | | | |

L.A. Level of agreement according to Landis and Koch (1977).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

A descriptive analysis of all variables was conducted (frequencies and percentages). Since the data were categorical, non-parametric statistics were used in order to examine the differences between competitions, i.e. *Chisquared* (χ 2) and *Cramer's Phi coefficient* (φ c) (Newell, Aitchison & Grant, 2014). The strength of association revealed by φ c indicator was interpreted according to Crewson's (2006) proposal. The degree of association between the study's dependent variables (*Situation variables, Execution variables* and *Outcome variable*) and the independent variable (*Type of competition*) was calculated through the *Corrected Typified Residuals* (*CTR*) of the contingency tables (Field, 2009).

3. RESULTS

Table 3 shows the differences in the variables selected to characterise shots in Fa7PC, depending on the variable *Type of competition*.

| Table 3. Differences in the stud | ly variables between competitions |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Variables | Type of competition | |
|-----------|---------------------|--|
| | | |

Rev.int.med.cienc.act.fís.deporte- vol. X - número x - ISSN: 1577-0354

| | χ2 | df | Sig. | | φc | Sig. | S.A. | |
|--------------------|---------|----|-------|---|-------|-------|----------|--|
| Functional class | 30.959 | 3 | 0.000 | * | 0.188 | 0.000 | Low | |
| Player position | 8.714 | 2 | 0.013 | * | 0.100 | 0.013 | Small | |
| Moment of the game | 7.089 | 6 | 0.313 | | 0.090 | 0.313 | | |
| Shooting area | 25.707 | 14 | 0.028 | * | 0.171 | 0.028 | Low | |
| Playing situation | 0.430 | 2 | 0.807 | | 0.022 | 0.807 | | |
| Body segment | 9.354 | 3 | 0.025 | * | 0.103 | 0.025 | Low | |
| Hitting type | 399.008 | 7 | 0.000 | * | 0.675 | 0.000 | High | |
| Shooting situation | 1.405 | 1 | 0.236 | | 0.040 | 0.236 | | |
| Height of shot | 0.378 | 2 | 0.828 | | 0.021 | 0.828 | | |
| Opposition to shot | 92.364 | 1 | 0.000 | * | 0.325 | 0.000 | Moderate | |
| Shot outcome | 19.185 | 3 | 0.000 | * | 0.148 | 0.000 | Low | |

S.A. Strength of association according to Crewson (2006).

The results of the analysis of the *Situation variables* are shown in Table 4. Players from *Functional class* 7 were the ones who performed the highest number of shots at goal in both competitions analysed.

| | Table 4. Result | bles in Fa7 | 'PC | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------|
| | Variables | | | Т | ype of com | petition | • | |
| | | 2 | 2012 Para | alympic G | ames | 2016 Para | alympic G | ames |
| | Functional class | | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR |
| | Functional clas | s 5 | 15 | 3.4 | -2.0 | 27 | 6.3 | 2.0 |
| | Functional clas | s 6 | 9 | 2.0 | -2.3 | 21 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
| | Functional clas | s 7 | 243 | 54.7 | -3.2 | 281 | 65.2 | 3.2 |
| | Functional clas | s 8 | 177 | 3 <mark>9</mark> .9 | 5.1 | 102 | 23.7 | -5.1 |
| | Moment of the game | | n 🕨 | % | CTR | n | % | CTR |
| | 00:00-09 | :59 | 48 🔨 | 10.8 | -2.6 | 73 | 16.9 | 2.6 |
| | 10:00-19 | :59 | 75 | 16.9 | 0.4 | 68 | 15.8 | -0.4 |
| | 20:00-30 | :00 | 72 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 61 | 14.2 | -0.8 |
| | 30:00-39 | :59 | 83 | 18.7 | 0.4 | 76 | 17.6 | -0.4 |
| | 40:00-49 | :59 | 64 | 14.4 | 0.3 | 59 | 13.7 | -0.3 |
| | 50:00-60 | :00 | 95 | 21.4 | 0.4 | 87 | 20.2 | -0.4 |
| | Overti | me | 7 | 1.6 | -0.1 | 7 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| | Pena | alty 🔪 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Player position | | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR |
| | Forw | ard | 130 | 29.3 | 2.7 | 92 | 21.3 | -2.7 |
| | Midfiel | der | 199 | 44.8 | -2.5 | 230 | 53.4 | 2.5 |
| | Défei | nce | 115 | 25.9 | 0.2 | 109 | 25.3 | -0.2 |
| | Shooting area | | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR |
| | Are | a 1 | 12 | 2.7 | -0.3 | 13 | 3 | 0.3 |
| | Are | a 2 | 9 | 2.0 | -0.7 | 12 | 2.8 | 0.7 |
| | Are | a 3 | 8 | 1.8 | -0.8 | 11 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| | Are | a 4 | 4 | 0.9 | -1.5 | 9 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| | Are | a 5 | 13 | 2.9 | -0.1 | 13 | 3 | 0.1 |
| | Are | a 6 | 64 | 14.4 | 0 | 62 | 14.4 | 0 |
| | Are | a / | 12 | 16.2 | 1.2 | 57 | 13.2 | -1.2 |
| | Are | a 8 | 12 | 2.7 | -0.3 | 13 | 3 | 0.3 |
| | Are | a 9 | 4 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 5 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| | Area | 10 | 49 | 11.0 | -2.3 | /1 | 16.5 | 2.3 |
| \mathbf{V} | Area | 11 | 49 | 11.0 | -2 | 67 | 15.5 | 2 |
| | Area | 12 | 0 | 1.4 | -0.3 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| • | Area | 13 | 9 100 | 2.U 27.7 | 1.3 2.0 | 4 05 | 0.9 10.7 | -1.3 |
| | Area | 14 15 | 123 10 | 21.1 | ∠.ŏ 2.2 | 00 2 | 19.7 | -∠.ŏ |
| | Alea | 10 | 10 n | 2.3 | | 2 | 0.0 | -2.3 |
| | Shooling situation | | 11 | <u>%</u> | | <i>[]</i> | <u>%</u> | |
| | In ga | | 4Uð 1 | 91.9 | 0.U- | 401 | 93.U 0.2 | 0.0 |
| | Pena | any | 1 | 0.2 | U | I | 0.2 | U |

Free kick 35 7.9 0.7 29 6.7 -0.7

CTR>I1.96I

Table 5 contains the results regarding the *Execution variables*, which refer to the shooting action. Significant differences were detected, in particular, in the variables *Body segment*, *Hitting type* and type of *Opposition*.

| variables Body segment, Hitting type and type of Opposition. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Table 5. Results corresponding to the execution variables in Fa7PC. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variables Type of competition | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 2012 Pa | aralympic (| Games | 2016 Pa | ralympic G | ames | | | | |
| Body segment | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| Right foot | 251 | 56.5 | 2.7 | 204 | 47.3 | -2.7 | | | | |
| Left foot | 164 | 36.9 | -2.4 | 194 | 45.0 < | 2.4 | | | | |
| Head | 24 | 5.4 | -1.1 | 31 | 7.2 | 1.1 | | | | |
| Other | 5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.5 | -1.1 | | | | |
| Hitting type | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| Inside of the foot | 104 | 23.4 | -15.2 | 323 | 74.9 | 15.2 | | | | |
| Outside of the foot | 223 | 50.2 | 15.5 | 15 🔪 | 3.5 | -15.5 | | | | |
| Тое | 73 | 16.4 | 7.4 | 8 | 1.9 | -7.4 | | | | |
| Instep | 12 | 2.7 | -5.4 | 53 | 12.3 | 5.4 | | | | |
| Back heel | 4 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 9 | 2.1 | -2.0 | | | | |
| Front of the head | 16 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 21 | 4.9 | -1.3 | | | | |
| Side of the head | 2 | 0.5 | -4.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 4.1 | | | | |
| Other | 10 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 323 | 74.9 | -2.3 | | | | |
| Shooting situation | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| After control | 243 | 54.7 | -1.2 | 253 | 58.7 | 1.2 | | | | |
| First-time shot | 201 | 45.3 | 1.2 | 178 | 41.3 | -1.2 | | | | |
| Defence | 115 | 25.9 | 0.2 | 109 | 25.3 | -0.2 | | | | |
| Height of shot | n 🖉 | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| Low | 209 | 47.1 | -0.4 | 209 | 48.5 | 0.4 | | | | |
| Medium height | 98 | 22.1 | 0.6 | 88 | 20.4 | -0.6 | | | | |
| High _ | 137 | 30.9 | -0.1 | 134 | 31.1 | 0.1 | | | | |
| Opposition to shot | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| Without opposition | 12 | 2.7 | -9.6 | 108 | 25.1 | 9.6 | | | | |
| With opposition | 432 | 97.3 | 9.6 | 323 | 74.9 | -9.6 | | | | |
| CTR>I1.96I | | | | | | | | | | |

Lastly, the results of the comparative analysis of the *Outcome variable* are displayed in Table 6.

| | Table 6. Results of the Outcome variable in Fa7PC. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | Variables | | | Type of co | ompetition | | | | | | |
| | Variables | | 12 Paralympic Games 2016 Paralympic | | | | Games | | | | |
| Shot outcom | ne | n | % | CTR | n | % | CTR | | | | |
| | Goal | 63 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 40 | 9.3 | -2.3 | | | | |
| | On goal (post) | 117 | 26.4 | -2.9 | 153 | 35.5 | 2.9 | | | | |
| | Directly out | 147 | 33.1 | -1.4 | 162 | 37.6 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | Other | 117 | 26.4 | 3.1 | 76 | 17.6 | -3.1 | | | | |

CTR>11.961

4. DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to compare the shots performed in Fa7PC during 2012 and 2016 Paralympic Games, with the aim to determine the differences between competitions. The main differences were detected in

Functional class, Player position, Shooting area, Body segment, Hitting type, Opposition to shot and Shot outcome. Studies involving the shooting action in different sport modalities for people without disabilities can be found in the scientific literature; in particular, in conventional football (Casáis et al., 2011; Ensum et al., 2005; García-Rubio et al., 2015). Similarly, studies regarding shots in basketball have been conducted (Echeverría et al., 2011; García-Tormo et al., 2015; Ibáñez et al., 2015). Furthermore, there are studies that analysed shooting performance indicators in sports for people with visual impairments, such as elite goalball (Morato et al., 2017; Morato et al., 2018) or Fa5 (Gamonales et al., 2018b; Gamonales et al., 2018c; Gamonales et al. 2019b). With regard to the sport modality under study in this manuscript. documents that analyse the performance indicators in Fa7PC are scarce (Gamonales et al., 2019a; Gamonales et al., 2018a). These studies bring to light the importance of the shooting action, as well as its relevance on the final score. In Fa7PC, the shooting action is a relatively open technical-tactical action, which can be executed in many different ways, regardless of the competition in which it is performed.

As regards the *Situation variables*, differences between championships were found in the variables *Functional class*, *Position* and *Shooting area*. Nevertheless, the shot did not seem to be affected by the variables *Moment of the game* or *Playing situation*.

Differences between competitions were detected in the variable Functional class. The probability that FC8 players performed a higher number of shots at goal in 2012 Paralympic Games than in 2016 Paralympic Games was higher than expected. FC8 players are the ones with the greatest mobility on the field. This is consistent with the rule modification implemented during 2016 Paralympic Games, which reduced the number of FC8 players allowed simultaneously on the field. This did not only mean that a lower number of shots were performed by FC8 players during the 2016 Paralympic Games, but also that the shots at goal were executed by other players, mostly those classified as FC7, who are the following in impairment severity. The results of the inferential analysis revealed this change, in agreement with previous results from the literature of FarPC, that showed that FC8 and FC7 players were the ones who performed the highest number of shots at goal (Gamonales et al., 2018a; Gamonales et al., 2019a). These findings are similar to those obtained from research conducted in other sports for people with disabilities, such as wheelchair basketball (Molik et al., 2009). Consequently, it is advisable that FayPC coaches always keep learning and know the rules in depth, with the aim to analyse the training sessions and prepare matches based on the current rules.

With regard to the *Moment of the game*, no significant differences were detected between competitions. Nonetheless, the results revealed higher probability than expected that shots at goal were performed in the interval *00:00-09:59* of the first half in *2016 Paralympic Games* than in *2012 Paralympic Games*. These results differ from previous studies (Gamonales et al., 2019a), as well as from other football modalities for people with disabilities, such as Fa5 for the blind (Gamonales et al., 2018b; Gamonales et al., 2019b), but they do

provide coaches with relevant information. Fa7PC is an invasion sport with a low score and a reduced variety of technical-tactical actions, as a consequence of the players' motor impairment. Thus, those with higher mobility presented greater ball possession in the *Pre-offensive area* (Gamonales et al., 2018b). As a result, *FC8* and *FC7* players performed the shots at goal within the first minutes of the match. This is understandable because these players present the best functional capacities and they usually play the complete match. Fa7PC coaches must design training tasks in which playing situations involving the rest of players (*FC5* and *FC6*) are practised, and that are close to the real game, with the aim to prevent fatigue in players with better functionality.

Association was also detected between *Player position* and *Type of* competition. Forwards presented higher probability of shooting at goal in 201 Paralympic Games than in 2016 Paralympic Games. Nevertheless, the probability that a shot was performed by a midfielder was higher in 2012 Paralympic Games than in 2016 Paralympic Games. These results are a consequence of the rule modification implemented during the latter Paralympic Games, and are similar to those reported in the previous literature (Gamonales et al., 2019a). Other sport modalities for people with disabilities, like goalball or Fa5, also presented differences in the percentage of shots per match depending on the player's position and role on the field (Morato et al., 2017). The rules prevailing during London Paralympic Games allowed a maximum of two FC8 players on the field simultaneously, one of them playing as midfielder and the other one as forward. However, during Rio Paralympic Games only one FC8 player was allowed on the field at the same time and most national teams made them play in the midfield, with the aim to have better control of the match and to be able to finish plays coming from their own side of the field.

With regard to the Shooting area, the results revealed differences between the two competitions. During London Paralympic Games, a higher number of shots were performed from areas that were far from the goal, i.e. Area 14 and Area 15. This can be due to the fact that one of the FC8 players used to play in a more backward position and, therefore, performed long-distance shots, while the other FC8 player shot from the beginning of the penalty box. By contrast, during Rio 2016 Paralympic Games, since only one FC8 player was allowed on the field, they usually took the ball to the beginning of the penalty box and shot at goal from *Area 10* or *Area 11*, where the success rate is higher since they are closer to the goal. The results from London 2012 Paralympic Games are similar to those found in the scientific literature regarding Fa7PC (Gamonales et al., 2019b), as well as other sport modalities like floorball (Prieto, Pérez-Tejero & Gomez-Ruano, 2013), basketball (Ibáñez et al., 2009) or Fa5 (Gamonales et al, 2019a; Gamonales et al., 2018b). They proved that the highest success rates corresponded to the shots performed from the areas that were closer to the goal or basket.

No differences were observed between the two competitions with regard to the *Playing situation*. The descriptive results showed a higher number of *In-game shots* and a lower number of *Penalty kicks* and *Free kicks* in both Paralympic Games. Therefore, Fa7PC coaches should design training tasks that address the shots at goal from different field areas with the aim to score a goal, since

Fa7PC characteristics determine the effectiveness of the final action, as it occurs in other cooperative sports, such as floorball (Prieto et al., 2013) or Fa5 (Gamonales et al., 2018b).

As regards the *Execution variables*, differences between the two competitions analysed were detected in the variables *Body segment*, *Hitting type* and *Opposition to shot*. By contrast, the shot did not seem to be affected by the variables *Shooting situation* or *Height of shot*.

The results revealed differences in *Body segment* between the two competitions under analysis. During *2012 Paralympic Games*, the probability of hitting with the *Right foot* was higher than expected, while hitting with the *Left foot* presented higher probability than expected during *2016 Paralympic Games*. Furthermore, an association was identified between the *Hitting type* and the *Type of competition*, revealing differences between competitions. That is, during *2012 Paralympic Games*, players were more likely to hit with the *Outside of the foot* and the *Toe*, while during *2016 Paralympic Games*, the probability was higher that they did it with the *Inside of the foot* and the *Side of the head*. This substantial change may be due to the fact that Fa7PO players have automated and assimilated hitting with both legs, regardless of the spasticity severity and in line with the game evolution, as it happens in other sport modalities (Gamonales et al., 2018b).

No differences were found in the variables *Shooting situation* or *Height of shot* in either of the Paralympic Games analysed. As regards the *Shooting situation*, the descriptive results showed that Fa7PC players hit the ball *After controlling* it and perform *First-time* shots. No differences between competitions were observed in the variable *Height of shot*. Nonetheless, players performed the shots at goal with either *Low* or *High* ball trajectories. This is in keeping with what occurs in other sports for people with disabilities, like goalball, where shot effectiveness depends on the ball height (Morato et al., 2017).

With regard to the variable Opposition, differences were found between the competitions analysed. The probability that shots at goal were performed Without opposition was higher than expected during 2016 Paralympic Games. while during 2012 Paralympic Games, the probability was higher than expected that shots were executed With opposition. This change in the way of playing may have been due to the reduction in the number of FC8 players. This seems logical since players with greater mobility (FC8) cover longer distances at high intensity and perform a higher number of accelerations, decelerations and changes of direction at high intensity during an Fa7PC match, compared to the rest of players from other functional classes (Yanci et al., 2018), and they even receive a higher number of impacts (Gamonales et al., 2021; Reina et al., 2020). Thus, athletes' physical performance affects the competition load (Peña-González et al., 2021), so it is necessary to design training sessions adapted to the different functional classes. Moreover, the presence of two FC8 players during 2012 Paralympic Games had considerable influence on the opponent's shooting actions, since they are more capable of difficulting the shot for the rest of players.

Lastly, there were differences in the *Shot outcome* depending on the *Type of competition*. There was higher probability than expected that the shot outcome was *Other outcome* or a *Goal* during 2012 Paralympic Games, while during 2016 Paralympic Games, the probability that the shot hit the *Post* was higher than expected. The shot variability is in keeping with the results found in previous scientific literature, in particular in Fa5 (Gamonales et al., 2018b). Besides, shooting from far areas reduced the probability of scoring a goal (Gamonales et al., 2019b) or a basket (Ibáñez et al., 2009; Ibáñez et al., 2015).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Fa7PC is a cooperative sport very similar to conventional football, with a low and tight score, which very often depends on every team's mistakes. Shots are key actions that determine the *Final score*.

A higher number of shots and goals were recorded during London 2012 Paralympic Games than during Rio 2016 Paralympic Games. The rules applied in every competition determined the way of playing, where the players with the best functionality (*FC8*) hit the ball more effectively than the rest of players, as a consequence of their better mobility.

The reduction in shots and goals from one competition to the next one seems to be influenced by the rule modification. During 2012 Paralympic Games, every team was allowed to have two FC8 players simultaneously on the field, while during 2016 Paralympic Games, only one FC8 player was allowed.

The shots depended on the *Moment of the game*. In both competitions, the descriptive results revealed that teams performed a higher number of shots at goal within the last ten minutes of the match. This may be due to the fatigue accumulated by the players towards the end of the match.

Shots in Fa7PC are generally executed with the *Right foot, After control*, at *Low* height, *With opposition*, from *Area 14* and *In-game*, as observed in both competitions. Furthermore, a change in the hitting type from the inside to the outside of the foot was detected between competitions, which may be a consequence of the players' technical improvement, as they are progressively specialising.

This study presents several limitations, the most important one being the lack of previous scientific literature on Fa7PC. By contrast, this fact highlights the innovative nature of the present study. It is a pioneering work that provides novel comparison data between two high-level competitions. We would recommend following steps and strategies similar to those described in the present manuscript, and also applying them to other variables, such as *Situation variables, Execution variables* or *Outcome*, depending on the *Type of competition*.

6. REFERENCES

- Andrade, M., Fleury, A., & Silva, A. (2005). Isokinetic muscular strength of paralympic athletes with cerebral palsy (CP) from the Brazilian soccer team. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Esporte*, *11*(5), 281-285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1517-86922005000500007
- Ato, M., López, J.J., & Benavente, A. (2013). Un sistema de clasificación de los diseños de investigación en psicología. *Anales de Psicología*, *29*(3), 1038-1059. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.29.3.178511
- Casáis, L., Lago-Peñas, C., Lago-Ballesteros, J., Iglesias, S., & Gómez, M. (2011). Indicadores de rendimiento competitivo que diferencia equipos ganadores y perdedores de la Liga Española. *Futbolpf: Revista de Preparación Física en el Fútbol,* (2), 44-53.

Castellano-Paulis, J., Hernández-Mendo, A., Morales-Sánchez, V., & Anguera-Argilaga, M. T. (2007). Optimising a probabilistic model of the development of play in soccer. *Quality & Quantity*, *41*(1), 93-104.

Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 20(1), 37-46.

Crewson, P. (2006). *Applied statistics handbook. Version 1.2.* Leesburg: AcaStat Software.

Drust, B. (2010). Performance analysis research. Meeting the challange. Journal of Sport Sciences, 28(9), 921-922. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640411003740769

Echevarría, B., Lapresa, D., Anguera, MT. & Arna, J. (2011). Análisis observacional del lanzamiento de tiro libre en jugadores de baloncesto base. *Psicothema*, 23(4), 851-857.

Ensum, J., Pollard, R., & Taylor, S. (2005). *Applications of logistic regression to shots at goal in association football*. En T. Reilly, J. Cabri & D. Araújo (Eds.), Science and Football V (pp. 211-217). London-New York: Routledge.

Field, A (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (3a ed.). London: Sade Publications Ltd.

Gamonales, J.M., Leon, K., Cómez-Carmona, C., Domínguez-Manzano, F., & Muñoz-Jiménez, J. (2018). Variables tácticas y situacionales en el fútbol para personas con parálisis cerebral: JJ.OO'2012. *Journal of Health Research*, *10*(1), 145-154.

Gamonales, J.M., Muñoz-Jiménez, J., León, K., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2018b). Efficacy of shots on goal in football for the visually impaired. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 18(3), 393-409. https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2018.1475194

Gamonales, J.M., Muñoz-Jiménez, J., León, K., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2018c). Caracterización del penalti en función del tipo te competición internacional en fútbol para ciegos. *E-balonmano.com: Revista de Ciencias del Deporte*, *14*(3), 181-194.

- Gamonales, J.M., Muñoz-Jiménez, J., León, K., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2018d). Entrenamiento y confiabilidad entre observadores en el análisis del fútbol para ciegos. *Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física, Deporte y Recreación*, *34*(2), 155-161.
- Gamonales, J.M., León, K., Jiménez, A., & Muñoz-Jiménez, J. (2019a). Indicadores de Rendimiento Deportivo en el Fútbol-7 para personas con parálisis cerebral. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la*

Actividad Física y el Deporte, *19*(74), 309-328. http://doi.org/10.15366/rimcafd2019.74.009

- Gamonales, J.M., Muñoz-Jiménez, J., León, K., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2019b). La eficacia del lanzamiento en Fa5 para personas ciegas en los JJ.OO'2016. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte*, *19*(76), 745-764. https://doi.org/10.15366/rimcafd2019.76.012
- Gamonales, J.M., Muñoz-Jiménez, J., Gómez-Carmona, C.D., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2021). Comparative external workload analysis based on the new functional classification in cerebral palsy football 7-a-side. A full-season study. *Research in Sports Medicine*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2021.1888105
- García-Rubio, J., Gómez-Ruano, M.A., Lago-Peñas, C., & Ibáñez, S.J. (2015). Effect of match venue, scoring first and quality of opposition on match outcome in the UEFFA Champions League. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 15*, 527-539.
- García-Tormo, J., Pérez-Manzano, D., Vaquera, A., & Morante, J.C. (2015). Incidencia de los tiros libres en partidos de baloncesto profesional. *E-Balonmano.com: Revista de Ciencias del Deporte*, 11(1), 73-82.
- Gómez-Ruano, M.Á. (2017). La importancia del análisis notacional como tópico emergente en Ciencias del deporte. *RICYDE: Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte, 13*(47), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.5232/ricyde2017.047ed
- Gorla, J., Nogueira, C.D., Gonçalves, H.R., De Faria, F.R., Buratti, J.R., Nunes, N., Pereira do Rêgo, J.T., Borges, M., Viera, I., & Roca, V.L. (2019).
 Composición corporal y perfil somatotípico de jugadores brasileños de fútbol siete con Parálisis Cerebral de acuerdo con la clasificación funcional.
 Contribución al Deporte Paralímpico. *Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física, Deporte y Recreación, 35*, 326-328.
- Henríquez, M., Herrera, F., Muñoz, F., Luarte, C., Fernández, M., Bueno, D., ...
 & De Campos, L. F. (2021). Caracterización y asociación del rendimiento físico en futbolistas chilenos con parálisis cerebral. *Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física, Deporte y Recreación, 40*, 126-134.
- Hileno, R., & Buscá, B. (2012). Herramienta observacional para analizar la cobertura del ataque en voleibol. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte*, *12*(47), 557-570.
- Hughes, M.D., & Bartlett, R.M. (2008). The use of performance indicators in performance analysis. *Journal of Sports Science*, *20*(10), 739-754. https://doi.org/10.1080/026404102320675602
- Hughes, M.D., & Churchill, S. (2005). Attacking profiles of successful and unsuccessful team in Copa America 2001. En Reilly, T., Cabri, J., & Araujo, D. (Eds.). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Ibañez, S.J., Feu, S., García-Rubio, J., Parejo, I., & Cañadas, M. (2009). La eficacia del lanzamiento a canasta en la NBA: Análisis multifactorial. *Cultura, Ciencia y Deporte, 4*(10), 39-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.12800/ccd.v4i10.132
- Ibáñez, S.J., Santos, J.A., & García-Rubio, J. (2015). Multifactorial analysis of free throw shooting in eliminatory basketball games. *International Journal of Performance* Analysis in Sport, 15(3), 897-912. https://doi.org/10.1080/24748668.2015.11868839
- Lago-Ballesteros, J., Lago-Peñas, C., Rey, E., Casáis, L., & Domínguez, E. (2012). El éxito ofensivo en el fútbol de élite. Influencia de los modelos

tácticos empleados y de las variables situacionales. *Motricidad, European Journal of Human Movement*, 28, 145-170.

- Landis, J.R. & Koch, G.G. (1977). Application of hierarchical kappa-type statistics in assessment of majority agreement among multiple observers. *Biometrics*, *33*(2), 363-374.
- Molik, B., Kosmol, A., Morgulec-Adamowicz, N., Laskin, J.J., Jezior, T., & Patrzatek, M. (2009). Game efficiency of elite female wheelchair basketball players during World championships (Gold Cup) 2006. *European Journal of Adapted Psysical Activity*, 2(2), 26-38.
- Morato, M.P., Furdado, O., Gamero, D., Magalhães, T., & De Almeida, J. (2017). Development and evaluation of an observational system for goalball match analysis. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Esporte*, 39(4), 398-407. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbce.08.002.
- Morato, M.P., Menezes, R.P., Fonseca, S., & da Cunha Furtado, O.L. (2018). Faster balls increase the probability of scoring a goal in female and male elite goalball. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Esporte*, 40(4), 427-434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbce.2018.03.027
- Newell, J., Aitchison, T., & Grant, S. (2014). *Statistics for sports and exercise science: a practical approach*. London and New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- O'Donoghue, P. (2015). An introduction to performance analysis of sport. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315816340
- Peña-González, I., Sarabia, J.M., Mancha-Triguero, D., Moya-Ramón, M., & Gamonales, J.M., (2021). Relationship between physical performance and match load and effects of two consecutive matches in cerebral palsy footballers. *Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física, Deportes y Recreación*, *41*, 728-734.
- Prieto, M., Pérez-Tejero, J., & Gómez-Ruano, M.A. (2013). Indicadores de rendimiento ofensivo en el floorball de alto nivel. *Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte*, 9(32), 114-125. http://dx.doi.org/10.5232/ricyde2013.03202
- Randolph, J.J. (2005). Free-Marginal Multirater Kappa (multirater Kfree): Alternative to Fluiss' Fixed-Marginal Multirater Kappa. Joensuu Learning and Instruction Symposium 2005, University of the Joensuu, Finland.
- Rein, R., & Memmert, D. (2016). Big data and tactical analysis in elite soccer: future challenges and opportunities for sports science. *Springer Plus*, *5*(1), 1410. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3108-2
- Reina, R. (2014). Evidence-based classification in paralympic sport application to football-7-a-side. *European Journal of Human Movement*, 32, 161-85.
- Reina, Ř., Iturricastillo, A., Castillo, D., Urbán, T., & Yanci, J. (2020). Activity limitation and match load in para-footballers with cerebral palsy: An approach for evidence-based classification. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 30(3), 496–504. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13583
- Robles, F.J., Castellano, J., & Perea, A.E. (2014). Diferencias del juego entre la selección española de fútbol y sus rivales. *Revista Iberoamericana de Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte, 1*(1), 1-14.
- Roldan, A., Sarabia, J.M., Gómez-Marcos, G., & Reina, R. (2020). An observational tool to assess activity limitation in ambulatory people with cerebral palsy when performing motor skills. *International Journal of*

Environmental Research and Public Health, *17*(6), 1896. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17061896

- Salas, J., & Hernández-Mendo, A. (2016). Análisis de la calidad del dato y generalizabilidad de un sistema de observación del contraataque en el balonmano de élite. *E-balonmano.com: Revista de Ciencias del Deporte*, 12(1), 31-44.
- Tenga, A., Holme, I., Ronglan, L., & Bahr, R. (2010a). Effect of playing tactics on achieving score-box possessions in a random series of team possessions from Norwegian professional soccer matches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(3), 245-255.
- Tenga, A., Holme, I., Ronglan, L., & Bahr, R. (2010b). Effect of playing factics on goal scoring in Norwegian professional soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(3), 237-244.
- Yanci, J., Castillo, D., Iturricastillo, A., & Reina, R. (2019). Evaluation of the official match external load in soccer players with cerebral palsy. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 33(3), 866-873. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000002085
- Yanci, J., Castillo, D., Iturricastillo, A., Urbán, T., & Reina, R. (2018). External match loads of footballers with cerebral palsy: A comparison among sport classes. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *13*(5), 590-596. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2017-0042
- Yanci, J., Los Arcos, A., Grande, I., Santalla, A., Figueroa, J., Gil, E., & Cámara, J. (2014). Capacidad de salto en futbolistas con parálisis cerebral. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte*, 14(54), 199-211.

Referencias totales / Total references: 38 (100%) Referencias propias de la revista / Journal's own references: 1 (2,63%)

ev.int.med.cient.act.fís.deporte- vol. X - número x - ISSN: 1577-0354